



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

MEMO

DATE: August 25, 2014
TO: Angelina Pan Lee
FROM: Julian Bañales, Planning Department
RE: PPA Case No. 2014.0969U for 1298 Fitzgerald Avenue

1650 Mission St.
Suite 400
San Francisco,
CA 94103-2479

Reception:
415.558.6378

Fax:
415.558.6409

Planning
Information:
415.558.6377

Please find the attached Preliminary Project Assessment (PPA) for the address listed above. You may contact the staff contact, Doug Vu, at (415) 575-9120 or Doug.Vu@sfgov.org, to answer any questions you may have, or to schedule a follow-up meeting.



Julian Bañales, Senior Planner



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Preliminary Project Assessment

Date: August 25, 2014
Case No.: **2014.0969U**
Project Address: 1298 Fitzgerald Avenue
Block/Lot: 4913/011
Zoning: RM-1 (Residential-Mixed, Low Density)
3rd Street Alcohol Restricted Use District
40-X
Area Plan: Bayview Hunters Point Area Plan
Project Sponsor: Angelina Pan Lee
(415) 385-2303
Staff Contact: Doug Vu – (415) 575-9120
Doug.Vu@sfgov.org

1650 Mission St.
Suite 400
San Francisco,
CA 94103-2479

Reception:
415.558.6378

Fax:
415.558.6409

Planning
Information:
415.558.6377

DISCLAIMERS:

Please be advised that this determination does not constitute an application for development with the Planning Department. It also does not represent a complete review of the proposed project, a project approval of any kind, or in any way supersede any required Planning Department approvals listed below. The Planning Department may provide additional comments regarding the proposed project once the required applications listed below are submitted. While some approvals are granted by the Planning Department, some are at the discretion of other bodies, such as the Planning Commission or Historic Preservation Commission. Additionally, it is likely that the project will require approvals from other City agencies such as the Department of Building Inspection, Department of Public Works, Department of Public Health, and others. The information included herein is based on plans and information provided for this assessment and the Planning Code, General Plan, Planning Department policies, and local/state/federal regulations as of the date of this document, all of which are subject to change.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

The project site is located at the northeast corner of Jennings Street and Fitzgerald Avenue in the City's Bayview-Hunters Point neighborhood. The approximately 7,500 square foot (sf) lot currently contains an approximately 1,500 sf two-story, single-family residential building (built circa 1909), a one-story, two-car garage, and open space. The proposed project would demolish the existing structures on the property and construct a four-story, nine-unit building that would encompass approximately 19,000 sf of floor area. The new units would range from 1,000 sf to 2,700 sf in size, and the project also proposes nine accessory parking spaces located in three ground-level garages. Two garages would be accessed via separate entrances along Jennings Street, while one garage would be accessed via an entrance along Fitzgerald Avenue.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW:

In order to begin formal environmental review, please submit an **Environmental Evaluation Application**.

This review may be done in conjunction with the required approvals listed below, but must be completed before any project approval may be granted. See page 2 of the current *Fee Schedule* for calculation of environmental application fees. **Note that until an approval application is submitted to the Current Planning Division, only the proposed Project Description will be reviewed by the assigned environmental planner.** Below is a list of topic areas that would require additional study based on our preliminary review of the project as it is proposed in the Preliminary Project Assessment (PPA) submittal dated June 23, 2014.

1. **Historic Resource Evaluation (HRE).** The proposed project consists of demolition of a potential historic resource (a building constructed 50 or more years ago). Therefore, the project is subject to the Department's Historic Preservation review, which may include preparation of an Historic Resource Evaluation (HRE) by a qualified professional who meets the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards. The department will provide the project sponsor with a list of three consultants from the Historic Resource Consultant Pool. Once the Environmental Evaluation Application is submitted, please contact Tina Tam, Senior Preservation Planner, via email (tina.tam@sfgov.org) for the list of three consultants. Upon selection of the historic resource consultant, the scope of the Historic Resource Evaluation shall be prepared in consultation with Department Preservation staff.

The Department recognizes that an Historical Resources Evaluation has already been completed for the subject property by William Kostura, who is a pre-qualified historical resources consultant in the City's Historical Resources consultant pool.¹ However, this does not preclude the possibility that additional historical resources analysis may be required, given that this study was not prepared in consultation with a Department preservation planner. Based on this, the project sponsor is encouraged to contact Tina Tam at the email above to ensure that all requirements concerning historical resources analysis are met.

2. **Archeological Resources.** Project implementation would entail soil-disturbing activities associated with building construction, including excavation to support the to-be-determined foundation type. Based on this, the project would require a Preliminary Archeological Review (PAR), which would be conducted in-house by the Planning Department Archeologist. The PAR would determine what type of soils disturbance/modification would result from the project, such as excavation, installation of foundations, soils improvement, etc. Any available geotechnical report or Phase II Environmental Site Assessment prepared for the project site would be reviewed as part of the archeological review for this project. In addition, it would also be determined if the project site is in an area that is archeologically sensitive. The result of this review would be provided in a memorandum to the environmental planner assigned to the project. If it is found that the project has the potential to affect an archeological resource, the PAR memorandum would identify any additional measures to be

¹ William Kostura, *Historical Evaluation of 1298 Fitzgerald Avenue*, San Francisco, September 2013.

implemented. Such actions may include application of appropriate archeological mitigation measures and/or requiring additional archeological studies as part of the environmental evaluation. If an additional archeological study is required, it must be prepared by a qualified archeological consultant. The qualified consultant must be selected from a list of three archeological consultants from the Planning Department's archeological resources consultant file provided by the Planning Department during the environmental review process.² The Planning Department Archeologist will be informed by the geotechnical study of the project site's subsurface geological conditions (see Item 11, Geology, below).

3. **Transportation Study.** Based on the PPA submittal, a transportation study is not anticipated. However, an official determination will be made subsequent to submittal of the environmental evaluation application. Planning Department staff does recommend that the project sponsor consolidate garage access to one door and driveway, rather than three as currently proposed. The recommended location for the driveway entrance is on Jennings Street in order to avoid potential conflicts with a bus route on Fitzgerald Avenue. Staff also requests that plans submitted with the EEA include street labels, indicate existing and proposed curb cuts and dimension, streetscape improvements and locations of trash rooms and Class 1 bicycle parking spaces.
4. **Hazardous Materials.** The proposed project would involve demolition of existing structures on the property (a two-story residence and a one-story garage) and construction of a four-story residential building containing nine dwelling units. Although the extent of excavation is unknown at this time, it is likely to exceed 50 cubic yards since the new building would span the majority of the approximately 7,500-sf parcel. Moreover, the parcel adjacent to the project site at 1373 Egbert Avenue was formerly zoned for industrial uses and may contain subsurface contamination. Based on these factors, the project may be subject to Article 22A of the Health Code, also known as the Maher Ordinance. The Maher Ordinance, which is administered and overseen by the Department of Public Health (DPH), requires the project sponsor to retain the services of a qualified professional to prepare a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) that meets the requirements of Health Code Section 22.A.6. The Phase I would determine the potential for site contamination and level of exposure risk associated with the project. Based on that information, soil and/or groundwater sampling and analysis, as well as remediation of any site contamination, may be required. These steps are required to be completed prior to the issuance of any building permit.

DPH requires that projects subject to the Maher Ordinance complete a Maher Application, available at: <http://www.sfdph.org/dph/EH/HazWaste/hazWasteSiteMitigation.asp>. Fees for DPH review and oversight of projects subject to the ordinance would apply. Please refer to DPH's fee schedule, available at: <http://www.sfdph.org/dph/EH/Fees.asp#haz>. Please provide additional information regarding the proposed excavation as well as a copy of the Phase I ESA with the Environmental Evaluation Application.

2 San Francisco Planning Department. Consultant Resources, Archeological Review Consultant Pool. Available online at: <http://www.sf-planning.org/index.aspx?page=1886>

5. **Air Quality (AQ) Analysis.** The proposed project's nine dwelling units is below the Bay Area Air Quality Management District's (BAAQMD) construction and operation screening levels for criteria air pollutants.³ However, detailed information related to cubic yards of excavation should be provided as part of the EEA.

In addition, project-related demolition, excavation, grading and other construction activities may cause wind-blown dust that could contribute particulate matter into the local atmosphere. To reduce construction dust impacts, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors approved the Construction Dust Control Ordinance (Ordinance 176-08, effective July 30, 2008) with the intent of reducing the quantity of dust generated during site preparation, demolition, and construction work in order to protect the health of the general public and of onsite workers, minimize public nuisance complaints, and to avoid orders to stop work by the Department of Building Inspection (DBI). Pursuant to the Construction Dust Ordinance, the proposed project would be required to comply with applicable dust control requirements outlined in the ordinance.

In addition, San Francisco has partnered with the BAAQMD to inventory and assess air pollution and exposures from mobile, stationary, and area sources within San Francisco. Areas with poor air quality, termed the "Air Pollutant Exposure Zone," were identified. Land use projects within the Air Pollutant Exposure Zone require special consideration to determine whether the project's activities would expose sensitive receptors to substantial air pollutant concentrations. Although the proposed project is not within an Air Pollutant Exposure Zone, improvement measures may be recommended for consideration by City decision makers, such as equipment exhaust measures during construction and enhanced ventilation measures as part of building design. Enhanced ventilation measures would be the same as those required for projects subject to Article 38 of the Health Code.⁴

If the project would generate new sources of toxic air contaminants including, but not limited to diesel generators or boilers, or any other stationary sources, the project would result in toxic air contaminants that may affect both on-site and off-site sensitive receptors. Detailed information related to any proposed stationary sources shall be provided with the EEA.

6. **Greenhouse Gases.** The 2010 CEQA Air Quality Guidelines provide CEQA thresholds of significance for greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. On August 12, 2010, the San Francisco Planning Department submitted to the BAAQMD a draft of the City and County of San Francisco's Strategies to Address Greenhouse Gas Emissions. This document presents a comprehensive assessment of policies, programs and ordinances that collectively represent San Francisco's Qualified Greenhouse Gas Reduction Strategy. The BAAQMD reviewed San Francisco's GHG reduction strategy and concluded that the strategy meets the criteria for a Qualified GHG Reduction Strategy as outlined in BAAQMD's CEQA Guidelines (2010).⁵ Therefore, projects that are consistent with San Francisco's GHG reduction strategy would result in less-than-significant GHG emissions. In order to facilitate a determination of compliance with San Francisco's GHG reduction strategy, the Planning Department has prepared a

3 BAAQMD, *CEQA Air Quality Guidelines*, May 2011, Chapter 3.

4 Refer to <http://www.sfdph.org/dph/eh/Air/default.asp> for more information.

5 San Francisco's Strategies to Address Greenhouse Gas Emissions and BAAQMD's letter are available online at: <http://www.sfplanning.org/index.aspx?page=1570>.

Greenhouse Gas Analysis Compliance Checklist. The project sponsor will be required to submit a completed checklist, which can be found at this link <http://www.sf-planning.org/index.aspx?page=1886>, as part of the environmental review process.

7. **Naturally Occurring Asbestos.** Based upon mapping conducted by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) the project site may be underlain by serpentine rock.⁶ Project construction activities could release serpentinite into the atmosphere. Serpentinite commonly contains naturally occurring chrysotile asbestos (NOA) or tremolite-actinolite, a fibrous mineral that can be hazardous to human health if airborne emissions are inhaled. In the absence of proper controls, NOA could become airborne during excavation and handling of excavated materials. On-site workers and the public could be exposed to airborne asbestos unless appropriate control measures are implemented. To address health concerns from exposure to NOA, the California Air Resources Board enacted an Asbestos Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for Construction, Grading, Quarrying, and Surface Mining Operations in July 2001. The requirements established by the Asbestos ATCM are contained in California Code of Regulations (CCR) Title 17, Section 93105.7 and are enforced by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD). The proposed project would be required to comply with the requirements of the Asbestos ATCM, which include measures to control fugitive dust from construction activities.
8. **Wind Study.** The proposed project would involve construction of a building approximately 38-feet 2-inches in height. The height of the proposed building is not expected to cause adverse ground-level wind speeds resulting from the project. Therefore a wind analysis study would not be required.
9. **Stormwater.** The proposed project would result in a ground surface disturbance of over 5,000 sf in area and is therefore subject to San Francisco's stormwater management requirements as outlined in the Stormwater Management Ordinance and the corresponding SFPUC Stormwater Design Guidelines (Guidelines). Projects that trigger the stormwater management requirements must prepare of a Stormwater Control Plan demonstrating project adherence to the performance measures outlined in the Guidelines including: (a) reduction in *total volume* and *peak flow rate* of stormwater for areas in combined sewer systems OR (b) *stormwater treatment* for areas in separate sewer systems. Responsibility for review and approval of the Stormwater Control Plan is with the SFPUC, Wastewater Enterprise, Urban Watershed Management Program. Without SFPUC approval of a Stormwater Control Plan, no site or building permits can be issued. The Guidelines also require a signed maintenance agreement to ensure proper care of the necessary stormwater controls. The project's environmental evaluation should generally assess how and where the implementation of necessary stormwater controls would reduce the potential negative impacts of stormwater runoff. To view the Stormwater Management Ordinance, the Stormwater Design Guidelines, or download instructions for the Stormwater Control Plan, go to <http://sfwater.org/sdg>.

6 Planning Department, GIS Layer, "Areas Affected by Serpentine Rocks." Created February 25, 2010 from United States Geological Survey and San Francisco Department of Public Health data.

7 California Air Resources Board, Regulatory Advisory, *Asbestos Airborne Toxic Control Measure for Construction, Grading, Quarrying, and Surface Mining Operations*, July 29, 2002.

10. **Tree Removal.** The Department of Public Works Code Section 8.02-8.11 requires disclosure and protection of landmark, significant, and street trees located on private and public property. Any tree identified in this Disclosure Statement must be shown on the site plans with size of the trunk diameter, tree height, and accurate canopy drip line. Please submit the Tree Planting and Protection Checklist with the EEA and ensure trees are appropriately shown on site plans.⁸
11. **Geology.** The project sponsor is required to prepare a geotechnical investigation to identify the primary geotechnical concerns associated with the proposed project and the site. The geotechnical investigation would identify hazards and recommend minimization measures for potential issues regarding, but not limited to, soil preparation and foundation design. The geotechnical investigation should be submitted with the EEA, and will also assist in the archaeological review of the project (see Archaeological Resources section above).
12. **Notification of a Project Receiving Environmental Review.** Depending on the level of environmental review, a notice may be required to be sent to occupants of the project site and properties adjacent to the project site, as well as owners of properties within 300 feet of the project site at the initiation of the environmental review process. Please be prepared to provide these mailing labels upon request during the environmental review process.

If the additional analysis outlined above indicates that the project would not have a significant effect on the environment, the project may qualify for a Class 32 Categorical Exemption, in which case the Planning Department would issue a Certificate of Determination of Exemption from Environmental Review.

If the additional analysis performed after submittal of the Environmental Evaluation Application indicates that the project may have a significant effect on the environment, Planning Department staff would prepare an Initial Study to determine whether an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) is needed. If the Department determines that the project would not have a significant effect on the environment, the Department would issue a Preliminary Negative Declaration. If the Department finds that the project would have significant impacts that can be reduced to a less-than-significant level by mitigation measures agreed to by the project sponsor, then the Department would issue a Preliminary Mitigated Negative Declaration.

If the Initial Study process indicates that the project would result in a significant impact that cannot be mitigated to a less than significant level, an EIR will be required to be prepared by an environmental consultant from the Planning Department's environmental consultant pool. The Planning Department would provide more detail to the project sponsor regarding the EIR process should this level of environmental review be required. Environmental Evaluation Applications are available in the Planning Department lobby at 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, at the Planning Information Center at 1660 Mission Street, and online at www.sfplanning.org.

⁸ Available online at <http://www.sf-planning.org/modules/showdocument.aspx?documentid=8321>.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT APPROVALS:

The project requires the following Planning Department approvals. These approvals may be reviewed in conjunction with the required environmental review, but may not be granted until after the required environmental review is completed.

1. A **Discretionary Review Application** is required for the proposed demolition of the existing single-family dwelling, which must be approved by the Planning Commission pursuant to Planning Code Section 317.
2. A **Building Permit Application** is required for the demolition of the existing buildings on the subject property.
3. A **Building Permit Application** is required for the proposed new construction on the subject property.

Building Permit applications are available at the Department of Building Inspections at 1660 Mission Street.

NEIGHBORHOOD NOTIFICATIONS AND PUBLIC OUTREACH:

Project Sponsors are encouraged to conduct public outreach with the surrounding community and neighborhood groups early in the development process. Additionally, many approvals require a public hearing with an associated neighborhood notification. Differing levels of neighborhood notification are mandatory for some or all of the reviews and approvals listed above.

This project is required to conduct a **Pre-application** meeting with surrounding neighbors and registered neighborhood groups before a development application may be filed with the Planning Department. The Pre-application packet, which includes instructions and template forms, is available at www.sfplanning.org under the "Permits & Zoning" tab. All registered neighborhood group mailing lists are available online at www.sfplanning.org under the "Resource Center" tab.

Although the Department will notify the Bayview Hunters Point Citizens Advisory Committee (BVHP CAC) of the project upon filing of a building permit application, the project sponsor should inform the BVHP CAC of the required Pre-application meeting. The BVHP CAC contact is Bill Barnes, Office of the City Administrator, and can be contacted at Bill.Barnes@sfgov.org or (415) 554-4148.

PRELIMINARY PROJECT COMMENTS:

The following comments address specific Planning Code and other general issues that may significantly impact the proposed project.

1. **Rear Yard.** Section 134 requires that the last ten feet of building depth be limited to a height of 30 feet when the rear yard requirement is reduced from the standard 45% lot depth. Your project includes a reduced 25% rear yard so please ensure your project meets this provision by including a dimension in your formal application, i.e. building permit plans. Alternatively, please consider the re-orientation

of the project to Fitzgerald Avenue and the preliminary design comments made below regarding the site design, open space and massing.

2. **Open Space – Residential.** Section 135 requires 100 sf of private open space, or 133 sf of commonly accessible open space for each dwelling unit. Additionally, any such open spaces, including balconies must meet the dimensional requirements of Subsections (f) and (g). Please include dimensions and area totals by dwelling unit in your building permit application to confirm this requirement is satisfied.
3. **Permitted Obstructions.** Section 136(c)(2)(D) states the maximum width of a balcony at the line parallel to and at a distance of three feet from the property line shall be nine feet. Please revise your plans to ensure the proposed balconies at the third floor meet this requirement.
4. **Street Trees.** Planning Code Section 138.1 requires one street tree for every 20 feet of frontage for new construction. Please include the required five trees along Jennings Street and four trees along Fitzgerald Avenue on your building permit plans.
5. **Standards for Bird Safe Buildings.** Planning Code Section 139 outlines bird-safe standards for new construction to reduce bird mortality from circumstances that are known to pose a high risk to birds and are considered to be "bird hazards." Feature-related hazards may create increased risk to birds and need to be mitigated. Any feature-related hazards, such as free-standing glass walls, wind barriers, or balconies must have broken glazed segments 24 square feet or smaller in size. Please review the standards and indicate the method of treatment(s) to comply with the requirements where applicable in your building permit plans.
6. **Moderation of Building Fronts.** Section 144.1 requires the stepping of the building along the front lot line, or along the front of the building where it is set back from such lot line, by at least one of the following methods:
 - a. Variation of the upper limit of the front elevation of the building, at intervals of not more than 35 feet, by a minimum of two feet in height. Not less than 30 percent of the width of such elevation shall be varied in this way from the height of the remainder of such elevation. For purposes of this provision, the term "front elevation" shall mean the front wall and other portions of the building to a significant depth on the lot.
 - b. Variations of the depth of the front building wall from the front lot line, at intervals of not more than 35 feet, by a minimum of two feet in depth. Not less than 30 percent of the width of such front building wall shall be varied in this way from the depth of the remainder of such wall. For purposes of this provision, the term "front building wall" shall mean such wall exclusive of all projections and other obstructions permitted by Section 136 of the Code for required front setback areas.

Please revise your plans to meet this requirement. Alternatively, please consider the re-orientation of the project to Fitzgerald Avenue and the preliminary design comments made below regarding the site design, open space and massing.

7. **Curb Cuts.** Planning Code Section 155(l) states that driveways crossing sidewalks shall be no wider than necessary for ingress and egress, and shall be arranged so as to minimize the width and frequency of curb cuts, to maximize the number and size of on-street parking spaces available to the public, and to minimize conflicts with pedestrian and transit movements. Please revise your plans and reduce the number of curb cuts and driveways to comply with this requirement. Alternatively, please consider the re-orientation of the project to Fitzgerald Avenue and the preliminary design comments made below regarding the site design, open space and massing.
8. **Bicycle Parking.** Planning Code Section 155.5 requires one Class 1 bicycle parking space per dwelling unit, and the standards for Class 1 spaces are described under Planning Code Section 155.1(b). Please identify the location of the nine required spaces in your building permit plans.
9. **Stormwater.** Projects that disturb 5,000 square feet or more of the ground surface must comply with the Stormwater Design Guidelines and submit a Stormwater Control Plan to the SFPUC for review. To view the Guidelines and download instructions for preparing a Stormwater Control Plan, go to <http://stormwater.sfwater.org/>. Applicants may contact stormwaterreview@sfwater.org for assistance.

PRELIMINARY DESIGN COMMENTS:

This project is located in the Bayview Hunters Point neighborhood and is therefore guided by the Bayview Hunters Point (BVHP) Area Plan. Jennings Street leads to a more one story industrial area while Fitzgerald Avenue, towards and beyond Ingalls Street is predominantly two-story residential. The following comments address preliminary design issues that may significantly impact the proposed project:

1. **Site Design, Open Space, and Massing.** Policy 5.1 of the BVHP Area Plan states: "Preserve and enhance the existing character of residential neighborhoods." Thus, new construction should relate to existing bulk, orientation, modulation, etc. of the surrounding residential buildings. Therefore, the Planning Department recommends that the project sponsors significantly reconsider its massing on the site by suggesting that the project orient its primary face along Fitzgerald Avenue that is a residential street, offer a rear yard, put the parking below grade or at the rear interior corner of the property, offer residential units that are accessible from the public realm at the street, and reduce the parking access to a single maximum 10' wide entry point along Jennings Street. The Planning Department supports the proposed 4th level setback as shown.
2. **Street Frontage.** The residential units at the ground floor should either be elevated or include a significant landscape or porch buffer pursuant to the Residential Ground Floor Guidelines. This puts the project more in line with the existing character and pattern of the neighborhood.
3. **Parking.** The Planning Department encourages providing the required bicycle parking at the ground floor.

4. **Architecture.** The Planning Department recommends that the project significantly improve the quality and character of the proposed architecture. The ground floor should be attractive to and engaged with the public realm and the upper level facades should have more depth, material quality, texture and relief. The project should also consider features that would celebrate the corner.

PRELIMINARY PROJECT ASSESSMENT EXPIRATION:

This Preliminary Project Assessment is valid for a period of **18 months**. An Environmental Evaluation, Conditional Use Authorization, or Building Permit Application, as listed above, must be submitted no later than **February 25, 2016**. Otherwise, this determination is considered expired and a new Preliminary Project Assessment is required. Such applications and plans must be generally consistent with those found in this Preliminary Project Assessment.

Enclosure: Neighborhood Group Mailing List

cc: Doug Vu, Current Planning
Tania Sheyner, Environmental Planning
Mat Snyder, Citywide Planning and Analysis
Maia Small, Design Review
Jonas Ionin, Planning Commission Secretary
Jerry Robbins, MTA
Jerry Sanguinetti, DPW

FIRST	LAST	TITLE	ORGANIZATION	ADDRESS	CITY	STATE	ZIP	TELEPHONE	EMAIL	NEIGHBORHOOD OF INTEREST
Brent	Plater		Wild Equity Institute	474 Valencia Street Suite 295	San Francisco	CA	94103		bplater@wildequity.org	Bayview, Bernal Heights, Glen Park, Golden Gate Park, Lakeshore, Mission, Outer Sunset, Presidio, Seacliff, Twin Peaks
Bruce	Murphy	President	Eureka Valley Trails/Art Network	170 Yukon Street	San Francisco	CA	94114-2306	415-863-0207	luckyiaowai.sf@gmail.com	Bayview, Castro/Upper Market
David	Gilliam	President	Portola Place Homeowners Association	P.O. Box 24181	San Francisco	CA	94124-0181	415-467-8587		Bayview
Jason	Castleberry	Chair	Exeter Street Bayview Triangle	55 Exeter Street	San Francisco	CA	94124	415-728-1509	jcastleberry@ymcasf.org	Bayview, Visitacion Valley
Lena	Miller	CEO	Hunters Point Family	1800 Oakdale Avenue	San Francisco	CA		94124 415-822-8895	lena@hunterspointfamily.org	Bayview
Linda	Burton		Anna Waden Library	5075 3rd Street	San Francisco	CA	94124	415-715-4100		Bayview
Malia	Cohen	Supervisor, District 10	Board of Supervisors	1 Dr. Carlton B Goodlett Place, Room #244	San Francisco	CA	94102-4689	415-554-7670	Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; Yoyo.Chan@sfgov.org; Andrea.Bruss@sfgov.org; cohenstaff@sfgov.org	Bayview, Potrero Hill, Visitacion Valley
Marsha	Pendergrass	President	Bayview Hill Neighborhood Association	803 Meade Avenue	San Francisco	CA	94124	415-468-9168	MarshaPen@gmail.com	Bayview
Mary	Ratcliff	Editor	SF Bay View Newspaper	4917 Third Street	San Francisco	CA	94124	415-671-0789	editor@sfbayview.com	Bayview, Potrero Hill, Visitacion Valley
Maverick	Madison	President	Shafter Avenue Community Club	1629 Shafter Avenue	San Francisco	CA	94124	415-822-1069	misterfingers04@yahoo.com	Bayview
Michael	Hamman	Chair	India Basin Neighborhood Association	702 Earl Street	San Francisco	CA	94124	415-643-1376	mhamman@igc.org; info@indiabasin.org	Bayview
Patsy	Tito	Executive Director	Samoan Development Centre	2055 Sunnydale Avenue #100	San Francisco	CA	94134-2611			Bayview, South of Market
Paul	Gawlowski	Father	St. Paul of the Shipwreck Church	1122 Jamestown Aveue	San Francisco	CA	94124	415-467-0660	spswoffice@aol.com	Bayview
Tiffany	Bohee	Executive Director	Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure, City and County of San Francisco	1 South Van Ness Avenue, 5th Floor	San Francisco	CA	94103		tiffany.bohee@sfgov.org; mike.grisso@sfgov.org; courtnev.pash@sfgov.org	Bayview, Downtown /Civic Center, South of Market, Visitacion Valley
Timothy	Ho	Community Organizer	Bayview Hunters Point Citizens Advisory Committee	1 Dr. Carlton B Goodlett Place, Room #362	San Francisco	CA	94102	415-554-4928	tim.ho@sfgov.org	Bayview
Willie	Kennedy	BVHP Project Area Committee	Southeast Community Facility	1800 Oakdale Avenue Ste. B, Room 8	San Francisco	CA	94124	415-821-1534		Bayview
Jonathan	G		Protect MLK Pool/Equality SF	P.O. Box 24297	San Francisco	CA	94124			Bayview